## A remark on Stirling's formula

## HERBERT ROBBINS, Columbia University

We shall prove Stirling's formula by showing that for n = 1, 2, ...

(1) 
$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi} n^{n + \frac{1}{2}} e^{-n} \cdot e^{r_n}$$

where  $r_n$  satisfies the double inequality

$$\frac{1}{12n+1} < r_n < \frac{1}{12n}.$$

The usual textbook proofs replace the first inequality in (2) by the weaker inequality

$$0 < r_n$$

or

$$\frac{1}{12n+6} < r_n.$$

Proof. Let

$$S_n = \log(n!) = \sum_{n=1}^{n-1} \log(p+1)$$

and write

(3) 
$$\log(p+1) = A_p + b_p - \epsilon_p$$

where

$$A_p = \int_p^{p+1} \log x \, dx, \quad b_p = \frac{1}{2} [\log(p+1) - \log p]$$

$$\epsilon_p = \int_p^{p+1} \log x \, dx - \frac{1}{2} [\log(p+1) + \log p].$$

The partition (3) of  $\log(p+1)$ , regarded as the area of a rectangle with base (p, p+1) and height  $\log(p+1)$ , into a curvilinear area, a triangle, and a small sliver<sup>1</sup> is suggested by the geometry of the curve  $y = \log x$ . Then

$$S_n = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} (A_p + b_p - \epsilon_p) = \int_1^n \log x \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \log n - \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \epsilon_p.$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Taken from G. Darmois, *Statistique Mathématique*, Paris, 1928, pp. 315-317. The only novelty of the present note is the inequality (7) which permits the first part of the estimate (2).

Since  $\int \log x \, dx = x \log x - x$  we can write

(4) 
$$S_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log n - n + 1 - \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \epsilon_p$$

where

$$\epsilon_p = \frac{2p+1}{2} \log \left( \frac{p+1}{p} \right) - 1.$$

Using the well known series

$$\log\left(\frac{1+x}{1-x}\right) = 2\left(x + \frac{x^3}{3} + \frac{x^5}{5} + \dots\right)$$

valid for |x| < 1, and setting  $x = (2p+1)^{-1}$ , so that (1+x)/(1-x) = (p+1)/p, we find that

(5) 
$$\epsilon_p = \frac{1}{3(2p+1)^2} + \frac{1}{5(2p+1)^4} + \frac{1}{7(2p+1)^6} + \cdots$$

We can therefore bound  $\epsilon_p$  above and below:

(6) 
$$\epsilon_{p} < \frac{1}{3(2p+1)^{2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{(2p+1)^{2}} + \frac{1}{(2p+1)^{4}} + \cdots \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{3(2p+1)^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{(2p+1)^{2}}} = \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p+1} \right),$$

(7) 
$$\epsilon_{p} > \frac{1}{3(2p+1)^{2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{3(2p+1)^{2}} + \frac{1}{[3(2p+1)^{2}]^{2}} + \cdots \right\} \\ = \frac{1}{3(2p+1)^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3(2p+1)^{2}}} > \frac{1}{12} \left( \frac{1}{p + \frac{1}{12}} - \frac{1}{p+1 + \frac{1}{12}} \right).$$

Now define

(8) 
$$B = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_p, \quad r_n = \sum_{n=n}^{\infty} \epsilon_p$$

where from (6) and (7) we have

(9) 
$$\frac{1}{13} < B < \frac{1}{12}.$$

Then we can write (4) in the form

$$S_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \log n - n + 1 - B + r_n,$$

or, setting  $C = e^{1-B}$ , as

$$n! = C \cdot n^{n + \frac{1}{2}} e^{-n} \cdot e^{r_n},$$

where  $r_n$  is defined by (8),  $\epsilon_p$  by (5), and from (6) and (7) we have

$$\frac{1}{12n+1} < r_n < \frac{1}{12n}.$$

The constant C, known from (9) to lie between  $e^{11/12}$  and  $e^{12/13}$ , may be shown by one of the usual methods to have the value  $\sqrt{2\pi}$ . This completes the proof.

The preceding derivation was motivated by the geometrically suggestive partition (3). The editor has pointed out that the inequalities (6) and (7) permit the following brief proof<sup>2</sup> of (2). Let

$$u_n = n! n^{-\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)} e^n$$

Then the series

$$\log\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+\frac{1}{2}} = 1 + \frac{1}{3(2n+1)^2} + \frac{1}{5(2n+1)^4} + \cdots$$

together with (6) and (7) yield the inequalities

$$\exp\left\{\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{1}{n+\frac{1}{12}} - \frac{1}{n+1+\frac{1}{12}}\right)\right\} < \frac{u_n}{u_{n+1}} = e^{-1}\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$< \exp\left\{\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right\}$$

Hence

$$v_n = u_n e^{-1/12n}$$

increases and

$$w_n = u_n e^{-1/(12n+1)}$$

decreases, while

$$v_n < w_n = v_n e^{1/12n(12n+1)}.$$

Since

$$v_1 = e^{11/12}, \quad w_1 = e^{12/13}$$

it follows that

$$v_n \to C$$
,  $w_n \to C$ ,  $v_n < C < w_n$ ,  $e^{11/12} < C < e^{12/13}$ .

Thus

$$u_n = Ce^{r_n}$$

where  $r_n$  satisfies (2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>A modification of that attributed to Cesàro by A. Fisher, *Mathematical theory of probabilities*, New York, 1936, pp. 93-95.